

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,919	09/25/2003	Phillip M. Morgan	2003-052-TAP 6541	
75	90 01/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Timothy R. Schulte			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J	
Storage Technology Corporation One StorageTek Drive Louisville, CO 80028-4309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	٧			
Office Action Summan	10/670,919	MORGAN ET AL.	<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Haugland	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/03.</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nayak (U.S. Patent No. 5,868,333).

Nayak discloses a magnetic tape cartridge comprising a door 54 on a first face of the cartridge, a slider 66 on a second face of the cartridge adjacent the first face, a belt 68 connecting the door and the slider so that the door can be opened by movement of the slider, belt post 26 for guiding the belt so that is bends at an angle, and a torsion spring 72 that biases the door toward its closed position.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6, 9, 11, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nayak in view of Ishihara et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,435,439).

Nayak is described above.

Nayak does not disclose that the door 54 opens by sliding parallel to the first face or that a compression spring provides force to close the door.

Ishihara et al teaches providing a tape cartridge 1 with a sliding door 27 that slides parallel to a face of the cartridge that includes an aperture closed by the door. Ishihara et al teaches providing the cartridge with a compression spring 10, 36 to provide a force to close the door.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Nayak with a sliding door as taught by Ishihara et al to reduce the space required to open the door. It would have been obvious to provide Nayak with a compression spring as taught by Ishihara et al to provide the force for biasing the door to the closed position since it would have been apparent to an ordinary artisan that many different types of springs would be capable of providing the required closing force. Use of a compression spring allows for arrangement of parts to reduce the height (perpendicular to the large faces of the cartridge) of the door mechanism.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McAllister and Sorensen are cited to show spring biased retainers for leading ends of tapes in cartridges. Olsen is cited to show a tape cartridge

Application/Control Number: 10/670,919 Page 4

Art Unit: 3654

having a retainer for an apertured tape leader. Morita et al is cited to show a magnetic

tape cartridge having a spring biased sliding door.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott Haugland whose telephone number is (703) 305-

6498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjh 1/5/05

Rathy Matecki
KATHY MATECKI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**